Louisiana Traffic Records Data Report 2018

crashdata.lsu.edu



Dr. Helmut Schneider

September 2019



- Trends in Crashes, Fatalities & Injuries
- Explanation of trends
- Crash Costs
- Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol: Crashes and DWI Arrests
- Findings from the Occupant Protection Survey of 2019

Some Notes about Interpretation of Data

- Regression to the mean
- Explaining versus predicting
 - Predicting: Does it continue to happen?
 - Explaining: Why did it happen?
- Causation versus correlation
 - Drunk driving is associated with fatal crashes. (Correlation)
 - Does an increase in drunk driving result in an increase of fatal crashes? (Causation)
 - Drugged driving is associated with fatal crashes. (Correlation)
 - Does an increase in drugged driving result in an increase of fatal crashes? (Causation)



- What are the trends in crashes, fatalities and injuries?
- What are the trend in rates?
- What are one-year changes?
- What are changes from 2010/11 to 2017?
- Highlights:
 - Interstates
 - Bicycles
 - Pedestrians
 - Motorcycles
 - Young drivers
 - Crash costs

Trends in Fatalities & Fatality Rate

HS1



While the fatalities have been on the rise again since 2012, there was no change from 2017 to 2018.

- 0% from 2017-2018
- 13.9% from 2011-2018

Fatalities per 100 million miles traveled have been increasing by a smaller percentage since 2012.

- -1.9% from 2017-2018
- 5.5% from 2011-2018

For comparison, the U.S fatality rate was at 1.16 in 2017.

What is the cause for this "Z" curve?

Crashes, Vehicles, Occupants (1,000)



Number of occupants and number of vehicles in crashes had increased dramatically in 2014 & 2015, but have fallen in 2017 & 2018.

Injury Rate (per 100 Million Miles)



The injury rate has dropped From 166 in 2016 to 155 in 2017 and 150 in 2018.

The injury levels are back to 2010 Levels.

What is the cause for that?

Fatality Rate per 1,000 Occupants

If we still had the same fatality rate per occupant as in 2005 we would have had 262 more fatalities in 2018.

The fatality rate per occupant seems to have shifted to a lower level starting in 2008.

What is the cause for that?





Moderate and Severe Injury

Moderate-to- Severe Injuries:

Increased in 2015 and 2016, but dropped in 2017 and 2018.

The Moderate-to- Severe-Injury Rate:

(per 100 million miles) Increased in 2015, BUT NOT IN 2016 and dropped in 2017 and 2018 to the lowest level since 1999 when the injury code was first used.

If we had the same injury rate as in 2005, we would have had 3, 656 more moderate to severe injuries in 2018.



HS2

Changes in Troop A, B and L are likely related to increase in traffic in the I10/I12 corridor. Why has Troop I not seen an increase? Why has Troop F seen an increase but not Troop G?



Troop Area D had the highest increase from 2010 to 2018. But the 2018 crashes are only slightly above 2005 numbers. This is likely due to changes in the oil industry. Troop C had a large decline in crashes from 2010 to 2018.

> Increase in Crashes 2010 – 2018 by Troop



Interstate Fatalities



From 2017 to 2018

- Fatalities Unchanged
- Fatality rate Unchanged

LSU <u>Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety</u> Explaining the Fatality, Injury and Crash Trend

- What caused the downward trend in fatalities and injuries 2007-2011?
- What caused the trend 2011-2018
- Economy?
- Seat Belt Use?
- Car Safety?
- Road Safety?

LSU Center for Analytics

Explaining Injury & Fatality Trends

- Economy
- Seat Belt Use
- Number of Vehicles in Crashes









75.2%



Explaining Injury & Fatality Trends

- 1984 (FMVSS 208) to require cars produced after 1 April 1989 to be equipped with a passive restraint for the **driver**.
- 1998 Federal legislation makes front airbags on both sides mandatory.
- 2009 NHTSA mandate that all automakers must phase in additional side-impact protection as a standard feature for their cars, trucks and SUVs goes in effect.

LSU Fatality and Injury Rates by Vehicle Model Year

Injury % by Vehicle Year_Injury (A-C), Injury A-B, Fatality



% of Vehicle Models Before 2000 in crashes



Critical to Fatal



Crash Trend





Single versus Multiple Vehicle Crashes by Month





Injuries by Month and HWY Type



Conclusion

- Safer cars beginning with the 2000 models (airbags)
 - Resulting in a permanent lower injury and fatality rate per vehicle in a crash
- 2007-2011 three things where happening
 - Older less safe cars (<2000) where phased out
 - The economy had a downturn, fewer vehicles in crashes
 - Seat belt use increased by 2.5 percentage points
- 2011-2018 experienced an increase in vehicles in crashes due to increased economic activities. But
 - at much lower injury rates of safer cars, and
 - A 10 percentage point increase in seat belt use
- The result is more like a "Z" curve rather than a "V" curve
- 2017-2018 number of crashes trending downwards on state roads & US HWY while number of crashes on City streets trend upwards
 - Resulting in fewer injuries overall

LSU Bicyclist Fatalities



- 5-Year increase
 - Bicyclist fatalities up 123%
 - Alcohol involved bicyclists death up 225%
- 2017-2018 Increase
 - Bicyclist fatalities up 26%
 - Alcohol involved bicyclists death up 18%
- Over the past 13 years on average Louisiana had 19 bicyclist fatalities per year.

Bicycle Crashes by Parish

Severe to Fatal Bicycle Injuries 2018



Bicycle Fatalities 2018



LSU

Motorcyclist Fatalities



2017-2018 Change

- Motorcyclist fatalities down -18.6%
- Alcohol involved motorcyclists death down -26.3%

5-Year Change

- Motorcyclist fatalities down -8.1%
- Alcohol involved motorcyclists death up 16.7%
- 85.6% of motorcyclist in crashes were wearing a helmet in 2018.



Pedestrian Fatalities & Injuries



2017-2018 change

- 40.2% increase in pedestrian fatalities
- -1.6% increase in pedestrian injuries

5-Year Change

- 69.1% increase in pedestrian fatalities
- 11.8% increase in pedestrian injuries
- First six month of 2019 there are 57 fatalities versus 75 in 2018.









Young Drivers in Fatal Crashes

HS3



Cost of Crashes

The Economic and Societal Impact Of Motor Vehicle Crashes, 2010, page 12, unit cost are adjusted by CPI.

Туре	Average Cost per Person	Injuries	Total Cost by Injury Category in Billion Dollars	Total Cost by Injury Category in Billions Including Loss of Quality of Life
Fatal Injuries	\$1,622,743	771	\$1.25	\$8.18
Severe Injuries	\$415,071	1,262	\$0.52	\$2.25
Moderate Injuries	\$121,770	11,795	\$1.44	\$6.10
Complaint Injuries	\$26,424	61,779	\$1.63	\$3.30
Occupants with No Injury	\$5,081	365,205	\$1.86	\$1.86
Property Damage	\$7,048	312,328	\$2.20	\$2.20
Grand Total Cost		753,140	\$8.90	\$23.88
Cost per licensed Driver			\$3,005	\$8,064
Percent increase from past year			2.8%	2.9%

Louisiana fiscal year 2019 executive budget was \$9.74 Billion.

Moderate, sever & fatality make up only 1/3 of the economic costs but 2/3 of quality of life costs.

The four Major Contributing Factors

The 5-year average is 78% of fatal crashes involves one of the four factors. Distraction Safety Belt

Distractions



Cell Phone Use



Distracted & Inattentive



Aggressive Driving

Aggressive Driving is defined as either

- Exceeding stated speed limit
- Exceeding safe speed limit
- Failure to Yield
- Following too closely
- Improper passing
- Disregarded traffic control
- Careless operation



LSU <u>Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety</u> Fatalities & Aggressive Driving Violations



Aggressive driving violations in fatal crashes have been declining over the past two year slightly.

LSU Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety Drinking and Driving



				FATA	LITIES Test	ed & Pen	nding BAC				
YEAR	BAC	0	KNOWN	BAC > 0	PENDIN ALCOHO UNKO	G AND L USE WN	NOT TEST ALCOHO UNKO	ED AND DL USE WN	UNKNO	WN	TOTAL
	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS
2014	206	42.2%	166	34.0%	30	6.1%	73	15.0%	13	2.7%	488
2015	245	46.8%	184	35.2%	16	3.1%	72	13.8%	6	1.1%	523
2016	248	50.4%	166	33.7%	1	0.2%	74	15.0%	3	0.6%	492
2017	277	53.6%	173	33.5%	0	0.0%	67	13.0%	0	0.0%	517
2018	251	51.0%	151	30.7%	0	0.0%	90	18.3%	0	0.0%	492
				DIF	FERENCE -	FATAL D	RIVERS				
1 YEAR	-9.4%	-2.6%	-12.7%	-2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	34.3%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-4.8%
5 YEAR	21.8%	8.8%	-9.0%	-3.3%	-100.0%	-6.1%	23.3%	3.3%	-100.0%	-2.7%	0.8%
				Survivin	g DriversTe	ested & P	Pending BAC				
					PENDIN	G AND	NOT TEST	ED AND			
YEAR	BAC	0	KNOWN	BAC > 0	ALCOHO UNKO	L USE WN	ALCOHO UNKO	OL USE WN	UNKNO	WN	TOTAL
	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS	%	DRIVERS
2014	291	57.5%	56	11.1%	9	1.8%	149	29.4%	1	0.2%	506
2015	339	59.4%	69	12.1%	2	0.4%	152	26.6%	9	1.6%	571
2016	338	52.9%	61	9.5%	2	0.3%	231	36.2%	6	0.9%	639
2017	324	54.4%	52	8.7%	0	0.0%	219	36.7%	0	0.0%	596
2018	365	56.3%	56	8.6%	0	0.0%	227	35.0%	0	0.0%	648
				DIFF	ERENCE - S	urviving	DRIVERS				
1 YEAR	12.7%	2.0%	7.7%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	-1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%
5 YEAR	25.4%	-1.2%	0.0%	-2.4%	-100.0%	-1.8%	52.3%	5.6%	-100.0%	-0.2%	28.1%

Fatalities in Crashes with BAC>=0.08 & % DWI



Fatalities Underage DUI

Percent of Alcohol involvement of 18-20-Year-Old Drivers in Fatal Crashes



Rate (per 100,000 lic. Drivers) Youth Drivers and Alcohol Involvement



DWI Fatalities and % DWI Fatalities Involving of BAC>=0.08 by Troop Area

Size of bubble represents total number of fatalities.





LSU Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety DWI Arrests from COBRA



No DWI ADULT-DWI Underage-Dui Refused

Rule of Thumb:

For every 1,000 hours Saturation Patrol 4 fewer fatalities.

For every SFST conducted 3 fewer fatalities.

Source: Target of Opportunity Report.



LSU <u>Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety</u> Drugged Driving (Crime Lab Data Only)



		Descrip	tion	
	Crash		Fatality	Hit &
Year	Investigation	D.W.I	Crash	Run
2014	96	2,244	303	3
2015	167	2,103	312	11
2016	100	1,598	266	7
2017	126	1,993	306	11
2018	126	1,977	378	13

LSU Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety Drugs in Fatal Crashes (Crime Lab Data Only)



Occupant Protection

What progress has Louisiana made over the past 20 years? What are the insights from the 2019data? How are fatalities linked to belt use by troop area?

Seat Belt Usage (Survey)

HS5

LSU Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety Belt Use by Gender

Belt use among male is still significantly below female belt use.

Belt Use By Ethnicity

There is still a 5 percentage points gap between belt use of white and black front seat occupants.

LSU Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety Belt Use by Vehicle Type

• There is still a 6 to 9 percentage point gap in belt use between pickup trucks and other vehicle occupants.

L	SU	Center for Anal Seat Belt U	ytics & Research Ise by Regior	FIGURE 1: LOUISIANA SURVEY REGIONS	
		Region	Estimate	STD Error	Diff 2019-2018
		1-New Orleans	88.7%	0.4%	-2.6%*
		2-Baton Rouge	86.2%	0.9%	-1.6%
		3-Houma	89.7%	0.7%	0.5%
		4-Lafayette	91.1%	0.8%	2.7%
		5-Lake Charles	87.6%	1.4%	-1.6%
		6-Alexandria	83.5%	0.6%	2.2%*
		7-Shreveport	84.9%	1.0%	1.1%
		8-Monroe	90.5%	1.4%	5.0%*
		LA total	87.5%	0.4%	0.6%

Seat Belt Usage by Region 2010-2019

Seat Belt Use by Troop

			Diff 2018-2017
Тгоор	Estimate	STD Error	
A-Baton Rouge	86.9%	0.7%	-1.0%
B-New Orleans	87.0%	0.4%	-2.4%*
C-Houma	91.5%	0.9%	1.1%
D-Calcasieu	87.6%	1.4%	-1.6%
E-Natchitoches	81.3%	0.7%	0.7%
F-Monroe	90.7%	1.2%	5.6%*
G-Shreveport	85.9%	1.2%	1.3%
I-Lafayette	91.1%	0.8%	2.7%
L-Hammond	88.5%	1.3%	-2.4%

Belt Use by Parish

Device	OCCUPANTS-	OCCUPANTS-	OCCUPANTS-	OCCUPANTS-	OCCUPANTS-	5-Year
Parish	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	Average
Terrebonne	94.3%	94.0%	93.2%	95.7%	90.0%	93.29
Lafourche	93.4%	94.4%	87.4%	94.3%	94.8%	91.59
Beauregard	95.4%	93.2%	77.4%	91.0%	90.9%	89.19
Jefferson Davis	93.8%	89.7%	96.2%	93.5%	92.5%	93.1
St. Tammany	92.9%	94.4%	86.9%	86.4%	87.9%	88.5
St. Charles	90.6%	93.5%	87.0%	93.0%	83.1%	88.2
Calcasieu	91.3%	92.6%	93.8%	93.4%	78.9%	89.19
Pointe Coupee	92.6%	92.0%	81.1%	92.4%	83.4%	86.55
Ascension	88.7%	90.0%	88.7%	88.2%	91.3%	88.99
St. Landry	89.5%	91.1%	86.7%	89.2%	88.9%	88.3
St. Martin	91.0%	89.5%	88.3%	92.1%	86.7%	88.7
Vermilion	89.0%	93.8%	83.1%	89.4%	91.5%	87.3
Bossier	85.9%	85.2%	90.0%	87.0%	89.6%	88.7
Caddo	86.8%	84.7%	92.5%	88.9%	89.5%	89.19
Lincoln	92.4%	87.5%	87.6%	88.7%	87.1%	87.5
Acadia	93.3%	87.8%	94.9%	87.5%	82.0%	87.9
Evangeline	86.1%	89.0%	89.4%	88.0%	93.6%	87.9
East Baton Rouge	88.3%	89.3%	89.1%	89.2%	83.3%	87.09
Vernon	82.6%	85.4%	87.4%	86.6%	84.5%	86.99
Jefferson	89.0%	89.5%	89.0%	88.5%	83.6%	86.29
Lafayette	91.6%	91.5%	87.9%	89.0%	78.7%	86.3
West Baton Rouge	92.7%	91.0%	92.2%	82.9%	79.9%	86.79
Livingston	87.1%	89.3%	80.9%	85.8%	82.1%	83.7
Ouachita	90.4%	85.1%	83.6%	87.1%	83.9%	84.49
St. Mary	89.7%	90.0%	92.4%	82.0%	82.6%	85.25
St. James	91.6%	91.5%	84.6%	80.1%	82.3%	85.09
Assumption	80.1%	75.8%	86.4%	83.9%	94.5%	86.39
Tangipahoa	86.7%	87.8%	86.8%	82.3%	81.9%	84.09
De Soto	77.5%	75.9%	86.5%	92.1%	86.3%	85.09
Iberville	80.7%	77.4%	91.5%	87.1%	80.0%	85.39
Natchitoches	79.2%	83.8%	92.6%	85.5%	81.5%	84.19
Orleans	85.8%	91.8%	87.1%	90.1%	75.5%	82.29
Iberia	91.4%	88.8%	93.6%	84.0%	68.8%	83.49
Sabine	74.7%	73.7%	75.8%	85.9%	86.2%	80.49
Rapides	82.7%	78.9%	88.2%	82.0%	87.5%	81.89
St. John	85.9%	87.1%	87.3%	82.2%	76.0%	80.19
Washington	82.3%	95.5%	79.3%	76.9%	77.3%	79.79
Union	04.10/	00.00/	06.00/	70.00/	00.00/	

	Driver		Passenger		All Occupants			
	Estimate	STDError	Estimate	STDError	Estimate	STDError	Diff from Past Year	Significance
Sex								
Male	84.2%	0.6%	83.7%	1.1%	84.2%	0.6%	0.4%	36.4%
Female	91.6%	0.4%	91.6%	0.7%	91.6%	0.4%	0.8%	81.7%
Race								
White	88.2%	0.5%	90.8%	0.7%	88.6%	0.4%	0.9%	81.8%
Black	84.0%	0.7%	81.1%	1.6%	83.5%	0.6%	-0.3%	25.1%
Hispanic	87.0%	1.8%	82.4%	3.0%	85.9%	2.4%	-4.0%	82.3%
Other	92.6%	3.1%	97.4%	0.9%	93.5%	2.5%	-1.6%	45.5%
Vehicle Type								
Car	88.6%	0.5%	87.4%	1.1%	88.4%	0.5%	-0.4%	42.0%
Pick-up	81.6%	0.9%	85.6%	1.4%	82.3%	0.9%	1.0%	57.9%
SUV	91.2%	0.7%	91.7%	1.1%	91.3%	0.6%	1.2%	83.4%
Van	93.1%	1.0%	95.1%	0.9%	93.6%	0.8%	3.7%	95.9%

Road Type and Vehicle Type

Road Type	Estimate	STD-Error	Diff
Interstate	89.3%	0.4%	-0.7%
US & State	87.0%	0.2%	-0.1%
Local Road	87.8%	0.8%	1.8%

Region	CAR	STD Error	PICKUP	STD Error	SUV	STD Error	VAN	STD Error	Diff PKUP-other
1-New Orleans	88.0%	0.7%	84.1%	1.0%	91.7%	0.6%	92.7%	1.5%	-6.7%
2-Baton Rouge	88.3%	0.9%	78.4%	2.3%	91.6%	1.2%	94.9%	1.3%	-13.3%
3-Houma	88.4%	1.2%	87.1%	1.3%	93.7%	1.2%	98.5%	0.5%	-6.4%
4-Lafayette	93.5%	1.0%	86.9%	2.1%	92.2%	2.0%	96.4%	1.3%	-7.1%
5-Lake Charles	84.1%	3.1%	86.6%	2.1%	91.1%	2.4%	95.9%	2.0%	-3.8%
6-Alexandria	84.9%	0.9%	78.5%	1.2%	87.0%	1.0%	87.7%	2.2%	-8.0%
7-Shreveport	86.5%	1.3%	76.6%	2.5%	90.2%	1.6%	88.8%	3.5%	-11.9%
8-Monroe	93.2%	1.8%	83.7%	3.5%	92.5%	2.0%	97.0%	1.4%	-10.5%
LA total	88.4%	0.5%	82.3%	0.9%	91.3%	0.6%	93.6%	0.8%	-8.8%

Rear Seat Belt Use

Year	Auto	Pickup	SUV	Van	Total
2008	27.30%	12.50%	31.30%	29.40%	27.20%
2010	50.00%	47.80%	77.20%	90.70%	58.40%
2011	46.00%	40.30%	71.40%	93.60%	53.80%
2013	50.88%	46.97%	67.09%	62.30%	54.84%
2014	48.76%	42.39%	69.31%	77.36%	54.92%
2015	67.85%	55.12%	80.53%	79.22%	68.86%
2016	70.92%	45.83%	80.52%	84.09%	68.83%
2017	65.75%	50.00%	71.22%	77.78%	65.61%
2018	61.97%	57.58%	73.91%	89.47%	65.53%
2019	62.50%	62.16%	81.89%	76.79%	68.12%

Unbelted Fatalities: Percentage versus number of fatalities

LSU **Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety** 2018 Child Occupant Protection Survey

+

Louisiana Child Passenger Safety Law

Age/Size	Restraint Use
Birth to at least 2 years old	Ride rear facing in an infant or convertible child safety seat
At least 2 years old and has outgrown the rear facing seat by height or weight	Ride in a forward-facing child safety seat with an internal harness
4 years old and has outgrown the forward-facing seat with internal harness by height or weight	Ride restrained in a belt positioning child booster seat using a lap shoulder seat belt
9 years old or has outgrown the booster seat and can pass the 5 Step Test	Ride restrained with a lap shoulder seat belt secured correctly on the vehicle seat
Younger than 13 years old	Ride in the rear seat of a vehicle, when available and properly restrained

A child who can be placed in more than one category shall use the more protective category. Child safety seats must be used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

5 Step Test: The seat belt fits correctly when the child sits all the way back against the vehicle seat, the child's knows bend over the edge of the vehicle seat, the belt fits snugly across the child's thighs and lower higs and not the child's abdomen, and when the shoulder strap snugly crosses the center of the child's chest and not the child's neck. flective 8/1/2019

Table 4: RESTRAINT TYPE/USE BY AGE CATEGORY- UNWEIGHTED DATA

		<u>Age < 1</u> (n=84)	<u>Age 1-3</u> (n=405)	<u>Age 4-5</u> (n=455)	<u>Age 6-12</u> (n=936)
	Rear-Facing Carrier	85.7% (n=72)	7.7% (n=31)	0% (n=0)	0% (n=0)
	Forward- Facing Carrier	10.7% (n=9)	75.1% (n=304)	1.3% (n=6)	0% (n=0)
2	Booster Seat	0% (n=0)	0.5% (n=2)	39.4% (n=181)	1.1% (n=10)
~	Vehicle Safety Belt	1.2% (n=1)	0.7% (n=3)	23.1% (n=106)	77.8% (n=733)
0	No Restraint Used	2.4% (n=2)	16.0% (n=65)	35.3% (n=162)	20.5% (n=193)

LSU Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety 2018 Child Occupant Protection Survey

Louisiana Child Passenger Safety Law

Age/Size	Restraint Use
Birth to at least 2 years old	Ride rear facing in an infant or convertible child safety seat
At least 2 years old and has outgrown the rear facing seat by height or weight	Ride in a forward-facing child safety seat with an internal harness
4 years old and has outgrown the forward-facing seat with internal harness by height or weight	Ride restrained in a belt positioning child booster seat using a lap shoulder seat belt
9 years old or has outgrown the booster seat and can pass the 5 Step Test	Ride restrained with a lap shoulder seat belt secured correctly on the vehicle seat
Younger than 13 years old	Ride in the rear seat of a vehicle, when available and properly restrained

A child who can be placed in more than one category shall use the more protective category. Child safety seats must be used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

5 Step Test: The seat belt fits correctly when the child's sits all the way back against the vehicle seat, the child's knees bend over the edge of the vehicle seat, the belt fits snugly across the child's thighs and lower hips and not the child's abdomen, and when the shoulder strap snugly crosses the center of the child's chest and not the child's neck.

		<u>Age < 1</u> (n=84)	<u>Age 1-3</u> (n=405)	<u>Age 4-5</u> (n=455)	<u>Age 6-12</u> (n=936)
	Rear-Facing Carrier	85.7% (n=72)	7.7% (n=31)	0% (n=0)	0% (n=0)
H	Forward- Facing Carrier	10.7% (n=9)	75.1% (n=304)	1.3% (n=6)	0% (n=0)
4	Booster Seat	0% (n=0)	0.5% (n=2)	39.4% (n=181)	1.1% (n=10)
\geq	Vehicle Safety Belt	1.2% (n=1)	0.7% (n=3)	23.1% (n=106)	77.8% (n=733)
0	No Restraint Used	2.4% (n=2)	16.0% (n=65)	35.3% (n=162)	20.5% (n=193)

Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety Fatal to Severe Injuries of children Age <13 Over the past five years

LSU

	Back								
	Infant		2	2-3		4-8		9-12	
OCC_PROTSYS_CD (group)	Fatal-Severe	#	Fatal- Severe	#	Fatal-Severe	#	Fatal- Severe	#	
None	5.80%	19	6.00%	51	2.40%	202	1.40%	126	
Lap Belt	9.60%	5	3.40%	9	2.90%	41	2.10%	19	
CSS Improperly Used	2.80%	40	2.80%	55	2.40%	46	3.50%	5	
Seat Belt	1.00%	17	1.40%	57	1.20%	402	1.60%	458	
Shoulder Belt	1.10%	1	1.40%	3	2.10%	17	4.40%	22	
CSS Properly used	0.60%	119	0.80%	176	1.00%	220	0.90%	9	

Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety Fatal to Severe Injuries of children Age <13 Over the past five years

LSU

	Front								
	Infant		2-	2-3		4-8		9-12	
OCC_PROTSYS_CD (group)	Fatal-Severe	#	Fatal- Severe	#	Fatal-Severe	#	Fatal- Severe	#	
None	11.60%	10	10.60%	13	12.70%	47	19.50%	50	
Lap Belt	20.00%	1			4.30%	7	1.40%	2	
CSS Improperly Used	5.70%	4	7.60%	7	4.90%	5			
Seat Belt			2.70%	6	2.30%	97	1.50%	203	
Shoulder Belt			8.70%	2	3.80%	5	4.30%	10	
CSS Properly Used	0.80%	3	1.20%	6	1.70%	9			

Child Safety by Region

Regions	Age < 1	Age 1 - 3	Age 4 - 5	Age 6 - 12	Age <6	Age <13	Error Age <6	Error Age <13
1. New Orleans	100.0%	87.0%	74.0%	88.6%	83.1%	86.7%	3.3%	2.2%
2. Baton Rouge	NA	85.5%	67.4%	66.1%	76.4%	69.4%	3.2%	2.6%
3. Houma/Thibodaux	95.9%	83.5%	66.0%	70.0%	78.8%	73.1%	3.1%	3.3%
4. Lafayette	100.0%	98.4%	66.7%	85.4%	87.5%	86.1%	4.2%	2.7%
5. Lake Charles	100.0%	97.9%	<mark>28.3%</mark> *	82.1%	73.1%	78.9%	<mark>8.2%</mark>	4.0%
6. Alexandria	100.0%	100.0%	72.1%	85.9%	91.2%	87.8%	4.1%	2.8%
7. Shreveport	91.2%	72.6%	59.5%	84.8%	71.1%	79.7%	3.8%	2.7%
8. Monroe	100.0%	73.2%	67.6%	65.1%	75.1%	68.6%	3.7%	4.5%
Statewide	98.3%	86.9%	65.8%	79.7%	80.2%	79.9%	1.5%	1.0%
Error	0.7%	1.9%	3.1%	1.4%	1.5%	1.0%		

CHILD RESTRAINT USAGE ESTIMATES BY TROOP

Troop Region	Age < 1	Age 1 - 3	Age 4 - 5	Age 6 - 12	Age < 6	Age < 13	Standard Error Age <6	Standard Error Age <13
A (Baton Rouge)	<mark>50.0%</mark> *	85.9%	69.2%	63.3%	77.3%	67.8%	3.3%	2.2%
B (New Orleans)	100.0%	84.6%	72.0%	85.7%	81.0%	84.1%	3.2%	2.6%
C (Houma)	100.0%	91.2%	78.3%	80.9%	87.7%	83.4%	3.1%	3.3%
D (Lake Charles)	100.0%	97.9%	28.3%	82.1%	73.1%	78.9%	4.2%	2.7%
E (Alexandria)	100.0%	100.0%	72.1%	85.9%	91.2%	87.8%	8.2%	4.0%
F (Monroe)	100.0%	73.2%	67.6%	65.1%	75.1%	68.6%	4.1%	2.8%
G (Shreveport)	91.2%	72.6%	59.5%	84.8%	71.1%	79.7%	3.8%	2.7%
I (Lafayette)	100.0%	98.4%	66.7%	85.4%	87.5%	86.1%	3.7%	4.5%
L (Hammond)	100.0%	87.7%	65.0%	86.1%	79.3%	83.8%	3.7%	4.5%
Standard Error	0.7%	1.9%	3.1%	1.4%	1.5%	1.0%		

2018 Child Restraint Usage v. 5-Year Average

Child Occupant Protection by Seating Position

Front Seat Usage Rear Seat Usage

Child Occupant Protection by Year and Age Group

CHILD RESTRAINT USAGE ESTIMATE BY AGE GROUP AND DRIVER BELT USE

CHILD RESTRAINT USAGE ESTIMATE FOR CHILDREN AGES 1-12 BY DRIVER RACE (2018-2019)

Summary (2017 versus 2018)

- Fatalities have not changed
- Alcohol impaired fatalities have not changed
- Pedestrian fatalities increased by 40%
- Youth fatal crash rates have declined
- Youth fatal crash rates with Alcohol Involvement have not changed
- Seat belt use rates were slightly up in 2019 compared to 2018
- Cannabis use in fatal crashes tested by the LSP crime lab increased by 86%
- Troop C
 - increased DWI arrests from 6.5 to 8.5 per 1,000 licensed drivers
 - cut the number of fatalities in half
 - impaired driver fatalities dropped from 40% to 25%.
- Crash Costs increase by 2.8% from 2017 to 2018

LSU <u>Center for Analytics & Research in Transportation Safety</u> Outlook 2019 and beyond

- The main factors driving fatalities and injuries
 - 1. Economic activities unchanged
 - 2. Number of licensed drivers unchanged
 - 3. Number of vehicles on the remains the same
 - 4. Seat belt use remains the same
 - 5. Car safety remains the same
 - 6. Alcohol use declines slightly
 - 7. Road safety improves
- Fatalities will stay flat or increase slightly over the next 5 years
- Severe to moderate Injuries will decline slightly because old cars are phased out
- Fewer serious crashes on US & State roads more non serious crashes on City roads